



Lander University
Traditional Report AY 2017-18
South Carolina



REPORT COMPLETE
STATUS: **CERTIFIED**

Institution Information

ADDRESS

320 Stanley Avenue

CITY

Greenwood

STATE

South Carolina

ZIP

29649

SALUTATION

Dr.

FIRST NAME

Judith

LAST NAME

Neufeld

PHONE

(864) 388-8225

EMAIL

jneufeld@lander.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education?

(<https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>)

☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, provide the following:

AWARD YEAR

GRANTEE NAME

PROJECT NAME

GRANT NUMBER

LIST PARTNER DISTRICTS/LEAS (ONE PER LINE)

LIST OTHER PARTNERS (ONE PER LINE)

PROJECT TYPE

- ☐ Residency
- ☐ Pre-baccalaureate
- ☐ Both Residency and Pre-baccalaureate

List of Programs

On this page, review the list of teacher preparation programs offered by your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this list of programs is pre-loaded from your prior year’s report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the programs in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, list all traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, list all alternative programs within the IHE. You may edit, delete, and insert new rows as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page. The system will automatically total the number of programs for you.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Information](#)

Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at <https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?	Update
Teacher Education: Art	No	
Teacher Education: Early Childhood Education	No	
Teacher Education: Elementary Education	No	
Teacher Education: English/Language Arts	No	
Teacher Education: Mathematics	No	
Teacher Education: Music	No	
Teacher Education: Physical Education	No	
Teacher Education: Social Studies	No	
Teacher Education: Special Education	No	

Total number of teacher preparation programs: 9

Program Requirements

On this page, review and enter information about the program requirements for admission into the program, program completion, and supervised clinical experience. If you submitted an IPRC last year, much of this page is pre-loaded from your prior year's report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to specify the requirements in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide the requirements for traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide the requirements for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Admissions](#)
- >> [Undergraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Postgraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Supervised Clinical Experience](#)

Admissions

1. Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:

Senior year



If Other, please specify:

2. Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

3. Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:

https://www.lander.edu/sites/lander/files/Documents/Academics/Catalog/catalog_1718.pdf

4. Please provide any additional information about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

The program changed its admission to program requirements at the beginning of this academic year (2017-2018). Four benchmarks were determined. Students meet each of these benchmarks in turn, ultimately arriving at Candidate status (full admission to the teacher education program). Students must meet five criteria to assume candidate status. They must show evidence of passing PRAXIS scores (or equivalent ACT or SAT scores for PRAXIS Core) as set by the State of South Carolina. They must successfully complete a screening activity in front of a panel of experts. They must maintain an overall grade point average of 2.75 and a grade point average of 3.0 in their major and education courses. They must have earned a grade of "B" or better in all field placement courses. They must also demonstrate appropriate professional behaviors and dispositions during these experiences. Students who meet all of these requirements but (a) have an overall grade point average that is slightly lower than 2.75 due to extenuating circumstances or (b) fail a portion of the PRAXIS II exam due to an extenuating circumstance may be admitted to program provisionally for one semester. Students who raise their grade point average and pass all required PRAXIS II examinations are granted full admission to the program and are allowed to progress to Clinical Practice B (student teaching).

Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)\)](#)

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

☒ Yes
☐ No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Fingerprint check	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Background check	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Minimum ACT score	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Minimum SAT score	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Minimum basic skills test score	☒ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Recommendation(s)	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Essay or personal statement	☒ Yes ☐ No	☒ Yes ☐ No
Interview	☒ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☒ No
Other Specify: 	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

2.75

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2017-18?

3.45

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

2.75

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2017-18?

3.57

6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)](#))

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Fingerprint check	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Background check	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum ACT score	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum SAT score	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum basic skills test score	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Recommendation(s)	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Essay or personal statement	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Interview	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Other Specify: 	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2017-18?

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2017-18?

6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2017-18. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iii\), §205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iv\)\)](#)

[Additional guidance on reporting supervised clinical experience and nonclinical coursework.](#)

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	100
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	480
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	5
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	10
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	88

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

The number of full-time equivalent faculty above represents the actual number of faculty members and not an FTE count. The number of adjunct faculty represents the actual number of adjunct faculty members and not an FTE count. We have reported only the number of candidates completing clinical practice (student teaching) during the 2017-2018 academic year. Each candidate in Lander University's teacher preparation program completes a minimum of 100 hours of supervised field experience across three sequential field experiences prior to clinical practice (student teaching). The majority of education majors complete a minimum of 125 hours in classrooms prior to clinical practice (student teaching). All candidates complete a 60-day clinical practice experience of a minimum of 480 hours in area public schools in classrooms that match their area of certification. College faculty supervise all field and clinical experiences completed at Lander University. Candidates may enter the program at the beginning of the fall or spring semester.

Enrollment

On this page, enter the number of candidates for an initial teaching credential who are enrolled in the initial teacher preparation programs within your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. **Do not** report on the total number of students enrolled in the entire IHE. **Do not** include individuals who currently hold a teaching credential and are seeking additional licenses or endorsements, or individuals preparing for school-based careers other than classroom teachers (e.g., administrators, guidance counselors).

The Department recognizes that in many cases, candidates voluntarily report their race/ethnicity and gender data, and that in some cases, candidates may choose not to report this information. Please report on the race/ethnicity data you have available, though the data may not be complete. It is not expected that the sum of the enrolled students reported by race/ethnicity or by gender will necessarily equal the total number of students enrolled.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the candidates enrolled in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the candidates enrolled in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the candidates enrolled in the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the enrollment data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Enrollment](#)

Enrollment

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and *not* an enrolled student.

[Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.](#)

Total number of students enrolled in 2017-18	25
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2017-18	4
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2017-18	21

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(ii\)\(H\)](#))

2017-18	Number Enrolled
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino of any race	1
Race	

2017-18	Number Enrolled
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	0
Black or African American	5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0
White	18
Two or more races	1

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Teachers Prepared by Subject Area](#)
- >> [Teachers Prepared by Academic Major](#)

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2017-18. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. [\(\\$205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)\)](#)

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by subject area.](#)

What are CIP Codes?

☐

 No teachers prepared in academic year 2017-18

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	13
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	26
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	19
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	8
13.1206	Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	24

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	1
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	2
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	2
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	6
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	4
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	4
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
13.99	Education - Other Specify: 	

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2017-18. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. ([§205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)](#))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education–Chemistry" category.

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by academic major.](#)

What are CIP Codes?

☐ No teachers prepared in academic year 2017-18

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	13
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	26
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	19
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	8
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	1
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	2
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	2
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	6
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	4
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	4
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
13.03	Education - Curriculum and Instruction	
13.09	Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	
42	Psychology	
45.01	Social Sciences	
45.02	Anthropology	
45.06	Economics	
45.07	Geography and Cartography	
45.10	Political Science and Government	
45.11	Sociology	
50	Visual and Performing Arts	
54	History	
16	Foreign Languages	
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
23	English Language/Literature	
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	
01	Agriculture	
09	Communication or Journalism	
14	Engineering	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
26	Biology	
27	Mathematics and Statistics	
40.01	Physical Sciences	
40.02	Astronomy and Astrophysics	
40.04	Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	
40.05	Chemistry	
40.06	Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	
40.08	Physics	
52	Business/Business Administration/Accounting	
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
99	Other Specify: 	

On this page, enter the total number of individuals who completed the program in AY 2017-18 and the two prior academic years. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the number of program completers for the two prior academic years are pre-loaded from your prior year's report.

A program completer is a person who has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript or other written proof of having met the program's requirements. In applying this definition, the fact that an individual has or has not been recommended to the state for initial certification or licensure may not be used as a criterion for determining who is a program completer.

An individual cannot be classified as both enrolled and as a program completer at the same time. An enrolled individual is not a program completer. Once an individual has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program and becomes a program completer, the individual is no longer classified as enrolled.

After entering the program completers, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Completers](#)

Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years.

2017-18	76
2016-17	74
2015-16	57

Annual Goals

On this page, review the annual goals in each subject area listed below. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the goals you entered last year are pre-loaded from your prior year's report. Please respond to the questions to report on progress towards the goals, and set new goals for the next academic year.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Annual Goals - Mathematics](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Science](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Special Education](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students](#)
- >> [Assurances](#)

Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\). \\$206\(a\)\)](#)

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2017-18?

1

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Lander employs several strategies to maintain and attempt to increase the number of mathematics education majors. First, Teaching Fellows candidates are encouraged to go into mathematics education. Second, rising high school juniors and seniors are encouraged by student teachers and Teaching Fellows visiting high school classrooms to go into mathematics education. Third, mathematics majors who have some connection to teaching (e.g., their parents are mathematics teachers) are targeted as potential mathematics education majors. Finally, students who initially declare an interest in elementary education are encouraged to consider seeking certification in middle school mathematics.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Although participants in Lander University's Teaching Fellows program have not been inclined to continue in math education, past successes in recruiting from this pool led the unit to continue to try to encourage fellows to pursue mathematics certification. One such candidate will graduate in mathematics education next academic year. Planned early encounters with Teacher Cadets (students in high schools many of whom apply for the

Teaching Fellows program) or with incoming fellows to discuss the possibility of pursuing a mathematics education major at Lander have continued (e.g., resources available from the state for those who pursue mathematics, support systems in place at Lander to support mathematics education majors, employment potential for mathematics educators). The unit is hopeful that some member of this cadre of students will be amenable to considering this degree. Finally, Lander has had several graduates who were initially certified in elementary education but who took the coursework to add on certification in middle school mathematics. The institution is looking into the possibility of adding programs to prepare middle level certification teachers. Beginning with the 2016-2017 academic year, all elementary education majors took the mathematics pedagogy course taught in the mathematics department as freshmen. It was hoped that this would encourage students to consider preparing for dual certification in elementary education and middle level mathematics or shifting to mathematics education. Although this has appeared to increase the candidates' scores in the PRAXIS Core, this change has not led to an increase in the number of elementary education majors choosing to pursue mathematics education.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2018-19?

1

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2019-20?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2019-20?

1

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\), §206\(a\)\)](#)

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three

academic years.

Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18?

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2018-19?

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2019-20?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2019-20?

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2017-18?

1

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Lander will continue to use SC CREATE (nee Project CREATE) as a means of preparing special education teachers in addition to its traditional undergraduate program. Additionally, freshmen and sophomore education majors are presented with information about working with exceptional children through classroom observation and guest speakers. Sophomores participate in one semester of observations related to exceptional learners. In this way, rising juniors are encouraged to consider working with exceptional learners by majoring in special education. We will see if these changes make a difference in the number of majors recruited.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Lander University successfully garnered funds to continue with SC CREATE through the 2018-2019 academic year and is exploring the possibility of launching an MAT Special Education (Multicategorical) degree that would be supported by SC CREATE funds. Future steps will be predicated on continued funding for SC CREATE, a program that supports paraprofessionals who serve in special education classrooms and other re-careering school district employees as they become certified special education teachers. Should this funding source appear to be in jeopardy, faculty members involved in Special Education will consider other means of attracting and supporting Special Education majors at Lander University. Faculty in Special Education will carefully monitor the number of students recruited to special education as rising juniors. Refinement to the sophomore-level observation semester will be made based on feedback received from students who choose/do not choose special education as a major. Special education professors will continue to do "guest shots" in sophomore-level courses such as educational psychology to further expose students to the possibilities of majoring in special education. Professional student organizations have jointly sponsored events related to special education and will continue to do so.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2018-19?

1

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2019-20?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2019-20?

1

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Adding an additional special education major during this academic year will be challenging. Lander University graduated a record 24 special education majors in 2017-2018.

Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes

- ☐ No
☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20?

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)](#), [§206\(b\)](#)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

1. Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

Lander University employs several successful strategies for preparing teachers who meet the needs of the areas in which most graduates will teach (rural upstate South Carolina), who are able to make data-driven decisions related to programs and student success, and who are able to meet the needs of each student in their classrooms. First, all candidates must complete a robust 54 hour General Education curriculum with an overall grade point average of 2.75 or higher before being admitted to the teacher education program. Second, all students take a variety of courses at the 200-level that are designed to build knowledge and understanding of high-quality teaching that engages all students (e.g., SPED 223: Introduction to Exceptional Children and Youth, K-12). Additionally, all sophomore-level students complete at least 25-hours of field experiences during which they attend seminars that focus on issues related to teaching (e.g., how to address bullying in schools) and observe master teachers in classroom settings. Junior- and senior-level candidates complete a minimum of 100 hours of field experience in which they teach lessons to students, complete case studies, conduct guided observations, and prepare a teacher work sample that demonstrates their ability to work successfully with all learners. Finally, candidates complete a minimum of 60 days of clinical practice in an area public school. During this experience candidates must provide evidence of their ability to work with all students in their classroom(s) including those with special learning needs, students with limited English proficiency, and those who may live in poverty. Evidence of successful practice is provided via a teacher work sample and on-site observations.

On this page, review the assessment pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Assessment Pass Rates](#)

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS5135 -ART CONTENT AND ANALYSIS Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
ETS5135 -ART CONTENT AND ANALYSIS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	1			
ETS5135 -ART CONTENT AND ANALYSIS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	1			
ETS5024 -EDUCATION OF YOUNG CHILDREN Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	24	168	21	88
ETS5024 -EDUCATION OF YOUNG CHILDREN Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	10	168	10	100
ETS5024 -EDUCATION OF YOUNG CHILDREN Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	24	166	22	92
ETS5024 -EDUCATION OF YOUNG CHILDREN Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	25	165	22	88
ETS5003 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ MATHEMATICS Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	16	167	14	88
ETS5003 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ MATHEMATICS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	5			
ETS5003 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ MATHEMATICS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	4			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS5003 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ MATHEMATICS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	5			
ETS5002 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ READING LANG ARTS Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	16	166	15	94
ETS5002 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ READING LANG ARTS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	5			
ETS5002 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ READING LANG ARTS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	4			
ETS5002 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ READING LANG ARTS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	5			
ETS5005 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SCIENCES Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	16	167	12	75
ETS5005 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SCIENCES Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	5			
ETS5005 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SCIENCES Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	4			
ETS5005 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SCIENCES Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	5			
ETS5004 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SOCIAL STUDIES Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	16	161	10	63
ETS5004 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SOCIAL STUDIES Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	5			
ETS5004 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SOCIAL STUDIES Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	4			
ETS5004 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SOCIAL STUDIES Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	5			
ETS5019 -ELEM ED: INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICE AND APPLICATIONS Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	3			
ETS5019 -ELEM ED: INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICE AND APPLICATIONS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	3			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS5019 -ELEM ED: INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICE AND APPLICATIONS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	13	163	13	100
ETS5019 -ELEM ED: INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICE AND APPLICATIONS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	5			
ETS5039 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS: CONTENT AND ANALYSIS Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
ETS5039 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS: CONTENT AND ANALYSIS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	1			
ETS5039 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS: CONTENT AND ANALYSIS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	2			
ETS5039 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS: CONTENT AND ANALYSIS Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	1			
ETS5161 -MATHEMATICS CONTENT KNOWLEDGE Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
ETS5161 -MATHEMATICS CONTENT KNOWLEDGE Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	1			
ETS5114 -MUSIC CONTENT & INSTRUCTION Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	2			
ETS5114 -MUSIC CONTENT & INSTRUCTION Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	2			
ETS5114 -MUSIC CONTENT & INSTRUCTION Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	1			
ETS5114 -MUSIC CONTENT & INSTRUCTION Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	2			
ETS5095 -PHYSICAL ED CONTENT AND DESIGN Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	4			
ETS5095 -PHYSICAL ED CONTENT AND DESIGN Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	7			
ETS5623 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 5-9 Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS5623 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 5-9 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	4			
ETS5623 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 5-9 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	6			
ETS5624 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	3			
ETS5624 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	3			
ETS5624 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	6			
ETS5624 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING 7-12 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	6			
ETS5621 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING EARLY CHILD Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	12	173	12	100
ETS5621 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING EARLY CHILD Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	11	171	11	100
ETS5621 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING EARLY CHILD Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	24	167	23	96
ETS5621 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING EARLY CHILD Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	25	165	25	100
ETS5622 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	12	174	11	92
ETS5622 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	13	175	13	100
ETS5622 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	33	171	32	97
ETS5622 -PRINC LEARNING AND TEACHING K-6 Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	21	174	20	95
ETS5543 -SE CK AND MILD TO MODERATE APPL Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	14	172	14	100

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS5543 -SE CK AND MILD TO MODERATE APPL Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	4			
ETS5543 -SE CK AND MILD TO MODERATE APPL Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	17	167	17	100
ETS5543 -SE CK AND MILD TO MODERATE APPL Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	9			
ETS5086 -SOCIAL STUDIES CONTENT & INTERPRETATION Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	3			
ETS5086 -SOCIAL STUDIES CONTENT & INTERPRETATION Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	2			
ETS5086 -SOCIAL STUDIES CONTENT & INTERPRETATION Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2015-16	4			

Summary Pass Rates

On this page, review the summary pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Summary Pass Rates](#)

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2017-18	28	27	96
All program completers, 2016-17	68	65	96
All program completers, 2015-16	58	54	93

Low-Performing

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's approval/accreditation and whether your program has been designated as low performing by the state. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Low-Performing](#)

Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(D\), §205\(a\)\(1\)\(E\)\)](#)

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

- ☒ State
- ☒ NCATE
- ☐ TEAC
- ☐ CAEP
- ☐ Other specify:

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Use of Technology](#)

Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(F\)\)](#)

Does your program prepare teachers to:

a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

Lander University prepares candidates to use technology and to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction. EDUC 204: Instructional Technology for Teachers provides education majors with the opportunity to learn about using technology as both a teaching and a data management tool. All candidates take this course with the exception of those in two education programs who take specialized courses related to the use of technology in their discipline (e.g., music education majors who study music-specific technologies). As part of EDUC 204, candidates review ISTE standards, learn to design a variety of learning experiences supported by technology which incorporates principles of universal design for learning, and design means of communicating with parents and families supported by various types of technology (e.g., class web sites, Google communities). As candidates move through their programs of study, they are required to demonstrate mastery of technology as both (a) a pedagogy that includes the principles of universal design for learning and (b) a management tool. Candidates are rated on their ability to do so when observed by university supervisors. Candidates also defend their successful use of technology in their teaching practice via teacher work samples or lesson plan reflections/observations.

Teacher Training

On this page, review the questions about how your program trains general education teachers and special education teachers. For the purposes of these questions, general education teachers means those who are not specifically prepared as special education teachers. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year’s report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Teacher Training](#)

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(G\)\)](#)

1. Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

a. teach students with disabilities effectively

☒ Yes

☐ No

b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

☒ Yes

☐ No

c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

☒ Yes

☐ No

2. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

Lander University's teacher education programs prepare general education teachers to teach students with disabilities, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, and to teach effectively students who are limited English proficient. All education majors take a three-hour course, SPED 223: Introduction to Exceptional Children and Youth, K-12, which introduces them to best practice in working with students with exceptionalities. Most education majors take EDUC 210: Communication in the Classroom, a course which helps to provide the background knowledge candidates need to work with students from a variety of cultures and who speak a variety of languages. Finally, all candidates are expected to demonstrate the ways in which they work effectively with all of their students in teacher work samples they develop at the end of each of three sequential semester-long field and clinical experiences (EDUC/ECED/SPED 329: Field Experience II, EDUC/ECED 429/SPED 429: Clinical Practice A, and EDUC 461: Clinical Practice B).

3. Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

a. teach students with disabilities effectively

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

Lander University prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, and to work effectively with students who are limited English proficient. Special education majors take a variety of courses that are designed to specifically support their development in working with learners with all types of exceptionalities. All special education majors take EDUC 210: Communication in the Classroom which provides them with additional information related to working with learners who are limited English proficient. All special education majors complete 175 hours of sequential field experiences prior to clinical practice (student teaching) and at least 60 days of clinical practice. At the end of each field experience and clinical practice, candidates are required to submit evidence of their ability to work with all learners in a teacher work sample.

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Contextual Information](#)

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Enrollment Confirmation

Total Title II enrollment from Section I: Program Information, Enrollment is **25**.

Number of program completers from Section I: Program Information, Program Completers is **76**.

For a total enrollment of **101**.

Certification of submission

☒ I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Katie Whatley

TITLE:

Administrative Assistant, College of Education

Certification of review of submission

☒ I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Judith Neufeld

TITLE:

Dean, College of Education

Comparison with Last Year

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
Total Enrollment	150	25	-83.33%
Male Enrollment	20	4	-80.00%
Female Enrollment	130	21	-83.85%
Hispanic/Latino Enrollment	2	1	-50.00%
American Indian or Alaska Native Enrollment	0	0	
Asian Enrollment	1	0	
Black or African American Enrollment	26	5	-80.77%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Enrollment	0	0	

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
White Enrollment	121	18	-85.12%
Two or more races Enrollment	0	1	
Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching	100	100	0.00%
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	480	480	0.00%
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring	0	0	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	9	5	-44.44%
Number of adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	9	10	11.11%
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	74	88	18.92%
Total completers for current academic year	74	76	2.70%
Total completers for prior academic year	57	74	29.82%
Total completers for second prior academic year	66	57	-13.64%